

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	O. I	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/802,500	10/802,500 03/16/2004		Kaichang Li	245-67929-01	3653
24197	7590	08/22/2006	•	EXAMINER	
KLARQ	UIST SPAI	RKMAN, LLP	NUTTER, NATHAN M		
121 SW S	SALMON ST	TREET		I manage I	
SUITE 16	500			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PORTLAND, OR 97204				1711	
			DATE MAILED: 09/22/2004	DATE MAILED: 08/22/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/802,500	LI ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Nathan M. Nutter	1711

	Nathan M. Nutter	1711	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 04 August 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AI	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in (fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b)	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejecti	on.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
	sliance with 27 CED 44 27 must be	filed within the month	646
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	e appeal. Since
AMENDMENTS			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in beto.	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);	
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a			110 133403 101
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mnliant Amendment	(DTOL -324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		inpliant Amendment	(i TOL-024 <i>j</i> .
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). 		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-3,5-12 and 19-30.	⊠ will not be entered, or b) □ wivided below or appended.	II be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good anwas not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	t before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apper y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•	
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	//	\wedge	nce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Parter N	Call M	Kult
		Nathan M. Nutter Primary Examiner	

Art Unit: 1711

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The concept of excluding the phenol/formaldehyde/urea resin is not disclosed or even alluded to. The cited Specification passage discloses that some PFU resins may release formaldehyde. First, there is no comparison showing of the instant invention with that of Sarjeant to show release of formaldehyde differing from the "substantially formaldehyde free" resin of the instant claims. Secondly, the reference shoiws the subsequent removal of formaldehyde, which is not excluded by the recitations of the instant claims. Note column 4 (lines 62-73).

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: see Final Rejection. The claims recite "substantially formaldehyde-free," which embraces the concept of Sarjeant, regardless of resin employed. The reference shows the free formaldehyde at 4.1%, and subsequent removal of residual formaldehyde, aspointed out above.